

Young Investigator Award
Beilstein-Institut

The Beilstein-Institut is offering an award to young investigators to attend and give an invited talk at the Beilstein Organic Chemistry Symposium on

Harnessing Light Energy with Molecules
October 8–10, 2024
Limburg an der Lahn (near Frankfurt am Main, Germany)

This symposium is organized by **Grace Han** and **Hermann A. Wegner** and the Beilstein-Institut. The award includes a travel grant of economy class airfare (to and from the location of the awardee's institution), local accommodation and meals, free registration for the symposium, and a signed certificate.

Eligibility: Any early career researcher within a maximum of 10 years after they received their PhD is eligible to receive the award

Rules:

- Award winners will be chosen among the authors of the accepted publications that will appear in the thematic issue "Harnessing Light Energy with Molecules" in the *Beilstein Journal of Organic Chemistry*. The thematic issue is guest edited by Grace Han, Mogens Brøndsted Nielsen, and Hermann A. Wegner.
- The applicant must be a corresponding author of the manuscript
- The manuscript must conform to the themes of the thematic issue and the symposium
- Incomplete applications will not be considered, and the committee reserves the right to not include any application without disclosing the reasons
- Awardees' names and institutions will be published on the symposium website and social media

How to apply:

- Submit a manuscript to the Thematic Issue "Harnessing light energy with molecules" in the *Beilstein Journal of Organic Chemistry* through the [Beilstein Publishing System](#). In the cover letter, clearly state your intention to be considered for the Young Investigator Award.
- Send an award application email with the submission confirmation and a current CV with contact information and photo (for verification purposes)

Submission deadline: April 30, 2024 to be considered for the Young Investigator Award

Contact: Hermann A. Wegner (hermann.a.wegner@org.chemie.uni-giessen.de)
Marc Kielmann (mkielmann@beilstein-institut.de)

Manuscript evaluation

Manuscript evaluation for the Young Investigator Award will be conducted by a diverse panel of experts. It is important to note that specific evaluation criteria may vary based on the article type. The panel will consider these factors collectively to make a well-informed decision regarding manuscript evaluation for the Young Investigator Award. The best contributions will be nominated based on a variety of criteria, such as:

Importance of the article

Does the article address a significant issue or contribute to an important area of research?

Originality/novelty of the article

Does the manuscript present new and innovative ideas or approaches?

Consideration of the current state of research

Does the article demonstrate an awareness and understanding of the current state of research in the field?

Citation of previous literature

Is there appropriate acknowledgment and integration of relevant prior research?

Definition of the research question and project aims

Are the research question and project aims clearly defined and aligned with the overall theme?

Appropriate use of scientific methods to answer the research question

Are the scientific methods employed appropriate and effective in addressing the research question?

Support of claims and conclusions by data

Are the claims made in the article adequately supported by the presented data?

Quality of graphical abstract, figures, and schemes

Are the visual elements clear, well-designed, and enhance the understanding of the content?

Description of experimental details

Is there a comprehensive and detailed account of the experimental procedures that allows for reproduction of the presented results?

Establishment of the identity and purity of new compounds

Is there sufficient evidence to confirm the identity and purity of newly synthesized compounds?

Quality of the supporting information

Is the supporting information of high quality and enhances the understanding of the manuscript?

Peer review

What is the evaluation of the peer reviewers?